arXiv:1704.01037v1 [math.AP] 4 Apr 2017

The spherical p-harmonic eigenvalue problem in non-smooth domains

Konstantinos Gkikas[*]

Laurent Véron[†]

Abstract

We prove the existence of p-harmonic functions under the form $u(r,\sigma)=r^{-\beta}\omega(\sigma)$ in any cone C_S generated by a spherical domain S and vanishing on ∂C_S. We prove the uniqueness of the exponent β and of the normalized function ω under a Lipschitz condition on S.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J72; 35J92 .

Key words. p-Laplacian operator; polar sets; Harnack inequality; boundary Harnack inequality; p-Martin boundary.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Existence **2**
2.1 Estimates 2
2.2 Approximations from inside 5
2.3 Approximations from outside 9

3 Uniqueness **10**
3.1 Uniqueness of exponent β 10
3.2 Uniqueness of eigenfunction 11
3.2.1 The convex case 11
3.2.2 Proof of Theorem E 12

1 Introduction

Let $p>1$, S a domain of the unit sphere S^{N-1} of $\mathbb{R}^N$ and $C_S:=\{(r,\sigma):r>0,\sigma\in S\}$ the positive cone generated by S. If one looks for p-harmonic functions in C_S under the form $u(x)=u(r,\sigma)=r^{-\beta}\omega(\sigma)$ vanishing on $\partial C_S\setminus\{0\}$, then ω satisfies the *spherical p-harmonic eigenvalue problem* on S

$$\begin{aligned}-div'\left(\left(\beta^2\omega^2+|\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla'\omega\right)&=(p-1)\beta(\beta-\beta_0)\left(\beta^2\omega^2+|\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\omega \qquad \text{in } S\\ \omega&=0 \qquad \text{in } \partial S\end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

[*]kgkikas@dim.uchile.cl
[†]veronl@univ-tours.fr

with $\beta_0 = \frac{N-p}{p-1}$ and were div' and ∇' denote the divergence operator and the covariant gradient on S^{N-1} endowed with the metric induced by its isometric inbedding into $\mathbb{R}^N$. Separable solutions play a key role for describing the boundary behaviour and the singularities of solutions of a large variety of quasilinear equations. When $N = 2$ the equation is completely integrable and has been solved by Kroll in the regular case $\beta < 0$ and Kichenassamy and Véron in the the singular case $\beta > 0$. In higher dimension, Tolksdorff [15] proved the following:

Theorem A *If S is a smooth spherical domain, there exist two couples (β_S, ω_S) and (β'_S, ω'_S) where $\beta_S > 0$ and $\beta'_S < 0$, ω_S and ω'_S are positive $C^2(\overline{S})$-functions vanishing on ∂S which solve (1.1) with $(\beta, \omega) = (\beta_S, \omega_S)$ or $(\beta, \omega) = (\beta'_S, \omega'_S)$. Furthermore β_S and β'_S are unique, and ω_S and ω'_S are unique up to an homothety.*

A more general and transparent proof has been obtained by Porretta and Véron [13], but always in the case of a smooth spherical domain. The aim of this article is to extend Theorem A to a general spherical domain. If we consider an increasing sequence of smooth domains $\{S_k\}$ such that $S_k \subset \overline{S}_k \subset S_{k+1}$ and $\cup_k S_k = S$ we prove the following:

Theorem B *Assume that S^c is not polar. Then the sequence of the $\beta_{S_k} > 0$ from Theorem A is decreasing and converges to $\beta_S > 0$. There exists $\omega_S \in W_0^{1,p}(S) \cap L^\infty(S)$ weak solution of (1.1) with $\beta = \beta_S$. Furthermore $\beta_S > 0$ is the largest exponent β such that (1.1) admits a positive solution $\omega_S \in W_0^{1,p}(S)$.*

Under a mild assumption on S it is possible to approximate it by a decreasing sequence of smooth domains S'_k such that $S'_k \subset \overline{S}'_k \subset S'_{k-1}$ and $\cap_k S'_k = \overline{S}$

Theorem C *Assume that $S = \overset{o}{\overline{S}}$. Then the sequence $\beta_{S'_k} > 0$ is increasing and converges to $\hat{\beta}_S > 0$ and there exists $\hat{\omega}_S \in W_0^{1,p}(S) \cap L^\infty(S)$ weak solution of (1.1) with $\beta = \hat{\beta}_S$. Furthermore $\hat{\beta}_S$ is the smallest exponent β such that (1.1) admits a positive solution $\omega_S \in W_0^{1,p}(S)$.*

We prove the uniqueness of the exponent β, under a Lipschitz assumption on S.

Theorem D *Assume that S is a Lipschitz domain, then $\beta_S = \hat{\beta}_S$ and if ω and ω' are two positive solutions of (1.1) in $W_0^{1,p}(S)$, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $c^{-1}\omega' \leq \omega \leq c\omega'$.*

The proof of Theorem C is based upon a sharp form of boundary Harnack inequality proved in [10],

$$\left|\ln \tfrac{\omega(\sigma_1)}{\omega'(\sigma_1)} - \ln \tfrac{\omega(\sigma_2)}{\omega'(\sigma_2)}\right| \leq c_1 \left|\sigma_1 - \sigma_2\right|^\alpha \quad \forall\, \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in S, \tag{1.2}$$

for some $c_1 = c_1(N, p, S) > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Actually we have a stronger result, much more delicate to obtain.

Theorem E *Let S be a Lipschitz subdomain of S^{N-1}. Then two positive solutions of (1.1) in $W_0^{1,p}(S)$ are proportional.*

The proof is based upon a non trivial adaptation of a series of deep results of Lewis and Nyström [10] concerning the p-Martin boundary of domains.

Acknowledgements This article has been prepared with the support of the collaboration programs ECOS C14E08.

2 Existence

2.1 Estimates

Through this article we assume that S^c is not polar, or equivalently that it has positive $c_{1,p}^{S^{N-1}}$-capacity.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume $p > 1$. Then any solution $\omega \in W_0^{1,p}(S)$ of (1.1) satisfies*

$$\|\omega\|_{C^\gamma(S)} \leq c_1 \|\omega\|_{L^p(S)}, \tag{2.1}$$

if $p > N-1$ where $\gamma = 1 - \frac{N-1}{p}$ if $p > N-1$ and

$$\|\omega\|_{L^\infty(S)} \leq c_1 \|\omega\|_{L^p(S)}, \tag{2.2}$$

if $1 < p \leq N-1$, where $c_1 > 0$ depends on p, N, β.

Proof. Multiplying the equation by ω and using Hölder's inequality, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} &(i) \qquad \int_S \left(\beta^2\omega^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dS \leq (\beta(p\beta - (p-1)\beta_0))^{\frac{p}{2}} \int_S |\omega|^p \, dS \quad \text{if } p \geq 2, \\ &(ii) \qquad \int_S \left(\beta^2\omega^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dS \leq \beta^{p-1}(p\beta - (p-1)\beta_0) \int_S |\omega|^p \, dS \quad \text{if } 1 < p < 2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Notice that these inequalities hold for all $p > 1$. If $p > N-1$ (2.1) follows by Morrey'inequality. Here after we assume $1 < p \leq N-1$. Let $\alpha \geq 1$ and $k > 0$. Then $\zeta = \min\{|\omega|, k\}^{\alpha-1}\omega$ is an admissible test function, hence

1- If $p \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S \left(\beta^2\omega^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \langle \nabla'\omega . \nabla'\zeta \rangle dS &= (p-1)\beta(\beta - \beta_0) \int_S \left(\beta^2\omega^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \omega\zeta dS \\ &\leq c_2 \int_S |\nabla'\omega|^{p-2} \omega^2 \min\{|\omega|, k\}^{\alpha-1} dS + c_2\beta^p \int_S |\omega|^p \min\{|\omega|, k\}^{\alpha-1} dS \\ &\leq c_2 \left(\int_S |\omega|^p \min\{|\omega|, k\}^{\alpha-1} dS\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \left(\int_S |\nabla'\omega|^p \min\{|\omega|, k\}^{\alpha-1} dS\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ &\quad + c_2\beta^p \int_S |\omega|^p \min\{|\omega|, k\}^{\alpha-1} dS, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where $c_2 = c_2(N, p, \beta) > 0$. Since

$$\int_S \left(\beta^2\omega^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \langle \nabla'\omega . \nabla'\zeta \rangle dS \geq c_3(p) \int_S |\nabla'\omega|^p \min\{|\omega|, k\}^{\alpha-1} dS,$$

it implies that there exists $c_4 = c_4(N, p, \beta)$ such that

$$\int_S |\nabla'\omega|^p \min\{|\omega|, k\}^{\alpha-1} dS \leq c_4 \int_S |\omega|^p \min\{|\omega|, k\}^{\alpha-1} dS, \tag{2.5}$$

which yields

$$\int_S |\nabla' j(\omega)|^p \, dS \leq c_4 \int_S |j(\omega)|^p \, dS, \tag{2.6}$$

where $j(\omega) = \min\{|\omega|, k\}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{p}}\omega$.

2- If $1 < p < 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S \left(\beta^2\omega^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \langle \nabla'\omega . \nabla'\zeta \rangle dS &= \int_S \left(\beta^2\omega^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla'\omega|^2 \min\{|\omega|, k\}^{\alpha-1} dS \\ &\quad + (\alpha - 1) \int_{S \cap \{|\omega| < k\}} \left(\beta^2\omega^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla'\omega|^2 \, |\omega|^{\alpha-1} \, dS. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Since

$$\int_S \left(\beta^2\omega^2+|\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}|\nabla'\omega|^2\min\{|\omega|,k\}^{\alpha-1}dS=\int_S \left(\beta^2\omega^2+|\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\min\{|\omega|,k\}^{\alpha-1}dS$$
$$-\beta^2\int_S \left(\beta^2\omega^2+|\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\min\{|\omega|,k\}^{\alpha-1}\omega^2dS$$
$$\geq \int_S |\nabla'\omega|^p\min\{|\omega|,k\}^{\alpha-1}dS-\beta^2\int_S \left(\beta^2\omega^2+|\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\min\{|\omega|,k\}^{\alpha-1}\omega^2dS,$$

we derive

$$\int_S |\nabla'\omega|^p\min\{|\omega|,k\}^{\alpha-1}dS\leq \beta^{p-1}(p\beta-(p-1)\beta_0)\int_S |\omega|^p\min\{|\omega|,k\}^{\alpha-1}dS, \tag{2.8}$$

which leads to (2.6). Letting $k\to\infty$ we infer by Fatou's lemma,

$$\int_S \left|\nabla'\left|\omega\right|^{\frac{\alpha-1}{p}+1}\right|^p dS\leq c_4\int_S |\omega|^{\alpha-1+p}\,dS. \tag{2.9}$$

If $p<N-1$ we derive from Sobolev inequality and putting $q=\alpha-1+p$ and $s=\frac{N-1}{N-1-p}>1$

$$\left(\int_S |\omega|^{sq}\,dS\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}\leq c_5\int_S |\omega|^q\,dS, \tag{2.10}$$

and $c_5>0$ depends on N, p and β. Iterating this estimate by Moser's method we derive (2.10).
If $p=N-1$ we have for $1\leq m<p-1$ and $m^*=\frac{m(N-1)}{N-1-m}$

$$c_6\left(\int_S |\omega|^{(\frac{\alpha-1}{p}+1)m^*}\,dS\right)^{\frac{pm}{m^*}}\leq \left(\int_S \left|\nabla'\left|\omega\right|^{\frac{\alpha-1}{p}+1}\right|^m dS\right)^{\frac{p}{m}}\leq |S|^{\frac{p}{m}-1}\,c_4\int_S |\omega|^{\alpha-1+p}\,dS,$$

and $c_6=c_6(N,p)$, hence

$$\left(\int_S |\omega|^{tq}\,dS\right)^{\frac{1}{t}}\leq c_5\int_S |\omega|^q\,dS, \tag{2.11}$$

with $t=\frac{m(N-1)}{p(N-1-m)}=\frac{m}{N-1-m}$. The proof follows again by Moser's iterative scheme. □

Proposition 2.2. *Let S_1 and S_2 be two subdomains of S^{N-1} such that $S_1\subset\overline{S}_1\subset S_2$ and S_2 not polar. Let $\beta_j>0$, j=1,2, such that there exist positive solutions $\omega_j\in W_0^{1,p}(S_j)$ solutions of*

$$-div'\left(\left(\beta_j^2\omega_j^2+|\nabla'\omega_j|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla'\omega_j\right)=(p-1)\beta_j(\beta_j-\beta_0)\left(\beta_j^2\omega_j^2+|\nabla'\omega_j|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\omega_j \qquad \text{in } S_j$$
$$\omega_j=0 \qquad \text{in } \partial S_j. \tag{2.12}$$

Then $\beta_1\geq\beta_2$.

Proof. Set $u_j(r,\sigma)=r^{-\beta_j}\omega_j(\sigma)$, $C_{S_j}=(0,\infty)\times S_J$ and assume $\beta_1<\beta_2$. By Harnack inequality $\omega_2\geq c>0$ on S_1, thus

$$u_2(r,\sigma)\geq cr^{-\beta_2} \qquad \text{a.e. in } C_{S_1}.$$

For $\epsilon>0$ there exist $r_\epsilon>0$ such that

$$\epsilon u_2(x)\geq u_1(x) \qquad \forall\, x\in C_{S_1}\cap\overline{B}_{r_\epsilon}.$$

Let $\delta > 0$, there exists $R_\delta > 0$ such that

$$u_1(x) \leq \delta \qquad \forall\, x \in C_{S_1} \cap B^c_{R_\delta}.$$

Hence $\zeta = (u_1 - \epsilon u_2 - \delta)_+ \in W^{1,p}_0(Q^{r_\epsilon, R_\delta}_{S_1})$, where $Q^{r_\epsilon, R_\delta}_{S_1} = \{x \in C_{S_1} : r_\epsilon < |x| < R_\delta\}$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{Q^{r_\epsilon, R_\delta}_{S_1}} \left\langle |\nabla u_1|^{p-2} \nabla u_1 - |\nabla(\epsilon u_1)|^{p-2} \nabla(\epsilon u_1).\nabla\zeta \right\rangle dx \\ &= \int_{Q^{r_\epsilon, R_\delta}_{S_1} \cap \{u_1 - \epsilon u_2 \geq \delta\}} \left\langle |\nabla u_1|^{p-2} \nabla u_1 - |\nabla(\epsilon u_1)|^{p-2} \nabla(\epsilon u_1).\nabla(u_1 - u_2) \right\rangle dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\nabla(u_1 - \epsilon u_2 - \delta)_+ = 0$ a.e. in $Q^{r_\epsilon, R\delta}_{S_1}$, which leads to $u_1 - \epsilon u_2 \leq \delta$ in the same set. Letting $\delta \to 0$ yields $R_\delta \to \infty$, thus we obtain $u_1 \leq \epsilon u_2$ in $C_{S_1} \cap \overline{B}^c_{r_\epsilon}$ hence $u_1 \leq 0$ in C_{S_1}, contradiction. □

2.2 Approximations from inside

Proof of Theorem B. Let $\{S_k\}$ be an increasing sequence of smooth domains such that $S_k \subset \overline{S}_k \subset S_{k+1}$. We denote by $\{(\beta_{S_k}, \omega_k)\}$ the corresponding sequence of solutions of (1.1) with $\beta = \beta_{S_k}$ and $\omega = \omega_k$. The sequence $\{\beta_{S_k}\}$ is uniquely determined by [15], it admits a limit $\beta := \beta_S$, and the ω_k are the unique positive solutions such that

$$\int_{S_k} |\omega_k|\, dS = 1.$$

If $p \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_k} |\nabla'\omega_k|^p\, dS &\leq \int_{S_k} \left(\beta^2_{S_k}\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega_k|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla'\omega_k|^2\, dS \\ &= (p-1)\beta_{S_k}(\beta_{S_k} - \beta_0)\int_{S_k} \left(\beta^2_{S_k}\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega_k|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \omega_k^2 dS \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{(p-4)_+}{2}}(p-1)\beta_{S_k}(\beta_{S_k} - \beta_0)\int_{S_k} \left(\beta^{p-2}_{S_k}\omega_k^p + |\nabla'\omega_k|^{p-2}\omega_k^2\right) dS \\ &\leq c_7(N, p, \beta_{S_k})\int_{S_k} \omega_k^p dS + \frac{1}{2}\int_{S_k} |\nabla'\omega_k|^p\, dS. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\beta_{S_k} \leq \beta_1$, we derive

$$\int_{S_k} |\nabla'\omega_k|^p\, dS \leq c_8, \tag{2.13}$$

from the normalization assumption with $c_8 = 2c_7(N, p, \beta_1)$.

If $1 < p < 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_k} |\nabla'\omega_k|^p\, dS &\leq \int_{S_k} \left(\beta^2_{S_k}\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega_k|^2\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dS \\ &\leq \beta_{S_k}(p\beta_{S_k} + (p-1)\beta_0)\int_{S_k} \left(\beta^2_{S_k}\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega_k|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \omega_k^2 dS \\ &\leq \beta_k^{p-1}(p\beta_{S_k} + (p-1)\beta_0)\int_{S_k} \omega_k^p dS, \end{aligned}$$

and we obtain (2.13) with $c_8 = \beta_1^{p-1}(p\beta_1 + (p-1)\beta_0)$.

Next we extend ω_k by 0 in S_k^c. Then there exists $\omega \in W_0^{1,p}(S)$ such that $\omega_k \rightharpoonup \omega$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(S)$, up to subsequence that we still denote $\{\omega_k\}$, and $\omega_k \to \omega$ in $L^p(S)$.

Step 1: We claim that $\nabla'\omega_k$ converges to $\nabla'\omega$ locally in $L^p(S)$.
Let $a \in S$ and $r > 0$ such that $B_{4r}(a) \subset S$. Then for $k \geq k_0$, $\overline{B}_{2r}(a) \subset S_k$. Let $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(B_{2r}(a))$ such that $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$, $\zeta = 1$ in $B_r(a)$. For test function we choose $\eta_k = \zeta(\omega - \omega_k)$, then

$$\int_{S_k} \left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega_k|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \langle \nabla'\omega_k.\nabla'\eta_k\rangle dS = (p-1)\beta_{S_k}(\beta_{S_k}-\beta_0)\int_{S_k} \left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega_k|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \omega_k\eta_k dS.$$

By the above inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_{2r}(a)} \Big\langle \left(\beta^2\omega^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla'\omega - \left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega_k|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla'\omega_k.\nabla'\eta_k \Big\rangle dS \\ = \int_{B_{2r}(a)} \left(\beta^2\omega^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \langle \nabla'\omega.\nabla'\eta_k\rangle dS \\ - (p-1)\beta_{S_k}(\beta_{S_k}-\beta_0)\int_{S_k} \left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega_k|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \omega_k\eta_k dS.\end{aligned}$$

Using the weak convergence of the gradient, we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_{B_{2r}(a)} \left(\beta^2\omega^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \langle \nabla'\omega.\nabla'\eta_k\rangle dS = 0.$$

Since ω_k is uniformly bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(S)$ and $\omega_k \to \omega$ in $L^p(S)$, we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_{B_{2r}(a)} \left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega_k|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \omega_k\eta_k dS = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_{B_{2r}(a)} (\omega-\omega_k)\Big\langle \left(\beta^2\omega^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla'\omega - \left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega_k|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla'\omega_k.\nabla'\zeta \Big\rangle dS = 0.$$

Combining the above relations we infer

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_{B_{2r}(a)} \zeta\Big\langle \left(\beta^2\omega^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla'\omega - \left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega_k|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla'\omega_k.\nabla'(\omega-\omega_k) \Big\rangle dS = 0. \tag{2.14}$$

Next we write

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_{2r}(a)} \zeta\Big\langle \left(\beta^2\omega^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla'\omega - \left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega_k|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla'\omega_k.\nabla'(\omega-\omega_k) \Big\rangle dS \\ = \frac{1}{2}\int_{B_{2r}(a)} \zeta\left(\left(\beta^2\omega^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} + \left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega_k|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\right)|\nabla'(\omega-\omega_k)|^2 dS \\ + \frac{1}{2}\int_{B_{2r}(a)} \zeta\left(\left(\beta^2\omega^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} - \left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega_k|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\right) \\ \times \left(|\nabla'\omega|^2 + \beta^2\omega^2 - \beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 - |\nabla'\omega_k|^2\right) dS \\ - \frac{1}{2}\int_{B_{2r}(a)} \zeta\left(\left(\beta^2\omega^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} - \left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega_k|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\right)\left(\beta^2\omega^2 - \beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2\right) dS.\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

If $p \geq 2$, we have from (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_{2r}(a)} \zeta \Big\langle \left(\beta^2\omega^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla'\omega - \left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega_k|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla'\omega_k.\nabla'(\omega-\omega_k)\Big\rangle dS \\
&\qquad \geq \frac{1}{2}\int_{B_{2r}(a)} \zeta \left(|\nabla'\omega|^{p-2} + |\nabla'\omega_k|^{p-2}\right) |\nabla'(\omega-\omega_k)|^2 \, dS \\
&\qquad - \frac{1}{2}\int_{B_{2r}(a)} \zeta \left(\left(\beta^2\omega^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} - \left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega_k|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\right) \left(\beta^2\omega^2 - \beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2\right) dS \\
&\qquad \geq \min\{2^{-1}, 2^{2-p}\} \int_{B_{2r}(a)} \zeta \, |\nabla'(\omega-\omega_k)|^p \, dS \\
&\qquad - \frac{1}{2}\int_{B_{2r}(a)} \zeta \left(\left(\beta^2\omega^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} - \left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega_k|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\right) \left(\beta^2\omega^2 - \beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2\right) dS.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Since $\omega_k \to \omega$ in $L^p(S)$, $\beta_{S_k} \to \beta$ and ω_k, ω are uniformly bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(S)$, we derive

$$\int_{B_{2r}(a)} \zeta \left(\left(\beta^2\omega^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} - \left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega_k|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\right) \left(\beta^2\omega^2 - \beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2\right) dS \to 0$$

as $k \to \infty$. Jointly with (2.14) we infer that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_{B_r(a)} |\nabla'(\omega-\omega_k)|^p \, dS = 0. \tag{2.17}$$

If $1 < p < 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_{2r}(a)} \zeta \Big\langle \left(\beta^2\omega^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla'\omega - \left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega_k|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla'\omega_k.\nabla'(\omega-\omega_k)\Big\rangle dS \\
&\qquad = \int_{B_{2r}(a)} \zeta \Big\langle \left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla'\omega - \left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega_k|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla'\omega_k.\nabla'(\omega-\omega_k)\Big\rangle dS \\
&\qquad + \int_{B_{2r}(a)} \zeta \Big\langle \left(\left(\beta^2\omega^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} - \left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\right) \nabla'\omega.\nabla'(\omega-\omega_k)\Big\rangle dS.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Up to extracting a subsequence, we have that $\omega_k \to \omega$ a.e. in S and that there exists $\Phi \in L^1(S)$ such that

$$|\omega_k|^p + |\omega|^p \leq \Phi \qquad \text{a.e. in } S \quad \text{and } \forall\, k \geq 1. \tag{2.19}$$

Since

$$\left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla\omega| \leq \left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \leq \beta_{S_k}^{p-1}\omega_k^{p-1} + |\nabla'\omega|^{p-1},$$

and

$$\left(\beta^2\omega^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla\omega| \leq \beta^{p-1}\omega^{p-1} + |\nabla'\omega|^{p-1},$$

we derive that

$$\left|\left(\beta^2\omega^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} - \left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\right| |\nabla'\omega| \leq 2\left(\beta^{p-1}\Phi^{p-1} + |\nabla'\omega|^{p-1}\right),$$

which implies that

$$\zeta \left(\left(\beta^2\omega^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} - \left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\right) \nabla'\omega \to 0 \quad \text{ in } L^{p'}(S)$$

where p' is the conjugate of p, and finally

$$\int_{B_{2r}(a)}\zeta\langle\left(\left(\beta^2\omega^2+|\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}-\left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2+|\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\right)\nabla'\omega.\nabla'(\omega-\omega_k)\rangle dS\to 0\ \text{ as } k\to\infty. \tag{2.20}$$

For the last term on the right-hand side of (2.18), we have, for $\gamma\in\mathbb{R}_+$ and $\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}\in\mathbb{R}^N$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\gamma+|\mathbf{B}|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\mathbf{B}-\left(\gamma+|\mathbf{A}|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\mathbf{A}&=\int_0^1\frac{d}{dt}\left(\left(\gamma+|t\mathbf{B}+(1-t)\mathbf{A}|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}(t\mathbf{B}+(1-t)\mathbf{A})\right)dt\\&=\left(\int_0^1\left(\gamma+|t\mathbf{B}+(1-t)\mathbf{A}|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}dt\right)(\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{A})\\&+(p-2)\int_0^1\left(\gamma+|t\mathbf{B}+(1-t)\mathbf{A}|^2\right)^{\frac{p-4}{2}}\langle t\mathbf{B}+(1-t)\mathbf{A}\,.\,\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{A}\rangle(t\mathbf{B}+(1-t)\mathbf{A})dt.\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\left(\gamma+|\mathbf{B}|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\mathbf{B}-\left(\gamma+|\mathbf{A}|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\mathbf{A}\,.\,\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{A}\rangle&=\left(\int_0^1\left(\gamma+|t\mathbf{B}+(1-t)\mathbf{A}|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}dt\right)|\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{A}|^2\\&+(p-2)\int_0^1\left(\gamma+|t\mathbf{B}+(1-t)\mathbf{A}|^2\right)^{\frac{p-4}{2}}\langle t\mathbf{B}+(1-t)\mathbf{A}\,.\,\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{A}\rangle^2dt.\end{aligned}$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^1\left(\gamma+|t\mathbf{B}+(1-t)\mathbf{A}|^2\right)^{\frac{p-4}{2}}\langle t\mathbf{B}+(1-t)\mathbf{A}\,.\,\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{A}\rangle^2dt\\&\qquad\le|\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{A}|^2\int_0^1\left(\gamma+|t\mathbf{B}+(1-t)\mathbf{A}|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}dt,\end{aligned}$$

and since $1<p<2$, we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle\left(\gamma+|\mathbf{B}|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\mathbf{B}-\left(\gamma+|\mathbf{A}|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\mathbf{A}\,.\,\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{A}\rangle\\&\qquad\ge(p-1)\left(\int_0^1\left(\gamma+|t\mathbf{B}+(1-t)\mathbf{A}|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}dt\right)|\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{A}|^2\\&\qquad\ge(p-1)\,|\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{A}|^2\left(\gamma+1+|\mathbf{B}|^2+|\mathbf{A}|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.21}$$

We plug this estimate into (2.18) with $\gamma=\beta_k^2\omega_k^2$, $\mathbf{A}=\nabla'\omega$ and $\mathbf{B}=\nabla'\omega_k$, then

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{B_{2r}(a)}\zeta\langle\left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2+|\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla'\omega-\left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2+|\nabla'\omega_k|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla'\omega_k.\nabla'(\omega-\omega_k)\rangle dS\\&\qquad\ge\int_{B_{2r}(a)}\zeta\,|\nabla'(\omega-\omega_k)|^2\left(\beta_k^2\omega_k^2+1+|\nabla'\omega_k|^2+|\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}dS.\end{aligned}\tag{2.22}$$

Set $\phi(.)=\beta_k^2\omega_k^2+1+|\nabla'\omega_k|^2+|\nabla'\omega|^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_r(a)}|\nabla'\omega-\nabla'\omega_k|^p\,dS&=\int_{B_r(a)}|\nabla'\omega-\nabla'\omega_k|^p\,\phi^{\frac{p(p-2)}{4}}\phi^{-\frac{p(p-2)}{4}}dS\\&\le\left(\int_{B_r(a)}|\nabla'\omega-\nabla'\omega_k|^2\,\phi^{\frac{p-2}{2}}dS\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(\int_{B_r(a)}\phi^{\frac{p}{2}}dS\right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.23}$$

Jointly with (2.14) and (2.22) we conclude that (2.17). Step 1 follows by a standard covering argument.

Step 2: We claim that ω_k converges to ω in $W_0^{1,p}(S)$.
Up to a subsequence that we denote again by $\{k\}$, we can assume that $\omega_k \to \omega$ and $\nabla'\omega_k \to \nabla'\omega$ a.e. in S. Let $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(S)$, then there exists $k_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the support K of ζ is a compact subset of S_k for all $k \geq k_\epsilon$. If $1 < p < 2$,

$$\left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega_k|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla'\omega_k| \leq |\nabla'\omega_k|^{p-1},$$

which bounded in $L^{p'}(K)$, then uniformly integrable in K and by Vitali's convergence theorem

$$\left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega_k|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla'\omega_k \to \left(\beta^2\omega^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla'\omega,$$

in $L^1_{loc}(S)$. Similarly

$$\left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega_k|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \omega_k \to \left(\beta^2\omega^2 + |\nabla'\omega|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \omega,$$

in $L^1_{loc}(S)$. If $p \geq 2$

$$\left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega_k|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla'\omega_k| \leq c\left(|\omega_k|^{p-1} + |\nabla'\omega_k|^{p-1}\right),$$

and we conclude again by Vitali's convergence theorem that the previous convergences hold. Since

$$\int_{S_k}\left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega_k|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \langle\nabla'\omega_k.\nabla'\zeta\rangle dS = (p-1)\beta_{S_k}(\beta_{S_k}-\beta_0)\int_{S_k}\left(\beta_{S_k}^2\omega_k^2 + |\nabla'\omega_k|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \omega_k\zeta dS$$

we conclude that ω is a weak solution of (1.1) with $\beta = \beta_S$. □

2.3 Approximations from outside

Proof of Theorem C. Since $\overline{S}^c$ has a non-empty interior, the existence of a sequence $\{\omega'_k\}$ corresponding to solutions of (1.1) in S'_k with $\beta = \beta_{S'_k}$ is the consequence of [13]. The fact that $\{\beta_{S'_k}\}$ is increasing follows from Proposition 2.2. We denote by $\hat{\beta} := \hat{\beta}_S$ its limit, and it is smaller or equal to β_S. Estimates (2.4) are valid with S'_k, ω'_k and $\beta_{S'_k}$ instead of S, ω and β. If we extend ω'_k by 0 in S'^c_k these estimates are valid with S^{N-1} instead of S'_k. Then up to a subsequence the exists $\omega \in W^{1,p}(S^{N-1})$ and a subsequence stil denoted by $\{k\}$ such that $\omega'_k \rightharpoonup \omega$ weakly in $W^{1,p}(S^{N-1})$, strongly in $L^p(S^{N-1})$ and a.e. in S^{N-1}. Furthermore, as in the proof of Theorem A, for any compact set $K \subset S$, $\nabla'\omega'_k \to \nabla'\omega'$ in $L^p(K)$. This is sufficient to assert that ω is a weak solution of

$$-div'\left(\left(\hat{\beta}^2\omega'^2 + |\nabla'\omega'|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla'\omega'\right) = (p-1)\hat{\beta}(\hat{\beta}-\beta_0)\left(\hat{\beta}^2\omega^2 + |\nabla'\omega'|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \omega' \quad \text{in } S.$$

Moreover $\omega'\lfloor_{S'_k}$ belongs to $W_0^{1,p}(S'_k)$ for all k. Since $\omega'_k = 0$ in S^c_k and converges a.e. to ω, this last function vanishes a.e. in $\cup_k S^c_k = (\cap_k S_k)^c = \overline{S}^c$. Therefore ω vanishes a.e. in $\overline{S}^c$ and since it is quasi continuous, it vanishes, $(1-p)$- quasi everywhere in $\overline{S}^c$. From Netrusov's theorem (see [1, Th 10.1.1]-(iii)) there exists a sequence $\{\eta_n\} \subset C_0^\infty(S)$ which converges to ω in $W^{1,p}(S)$, thus $\omega \in W_0^{1,p}(S)$. □

3 Uniqueness

3.1 Uniqueness of exponent β

Proof of Theorem D. If S is Lipschitz, C_S is also Lipschitz. We fix $z \in S \approx S^{N-1} \cap \partial C_S$ and we apply [10, Th 2] in $G_z = C_S \cap B_{\frac{1}{2}}(z)$ to two separable p-harmonic functions $u(r,\sigma) = r^{-\beta}\omega(\sigma)$ and $u'(r,\sigma) = r^{-\beta'}\omega'(\sigma)$. There exist $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $c_{10} > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\left|\ln \frac{u(y_1)}{u'(y_1)} - \ln \frac{u(y_2)}{u'(y_2)}\right| \leq c_{10}\,|y_1 - y_2|^{\alpha} \quad \forall\, y_1, y_2 \in C_S \cap B_{\gamma}(z). \tag{3.24}$$

Assume $|y_1| = |y_2| = 1$, then

$$\left|\ln \frac{\omega(y_1)}{\omega'(y_1)} - \ln \frac{\omega(y_2)}{\omega'(y_2)}\right| \leq c_{10}\,|y_1 - y_2|^{\alpha} \quad \forall\, y_1, y_2 \in S \cap B_{\gamma}(z). \tag{3.25}$$

We denote by $\ell(x,y)$ the geodesic distance on S^{N-1} and by $\ell(x,K)$ the geodesic distance from a point $x \in S^{N-1}$ to a subset K. Since the set $S_\gamma = \{\sigma \in S : \ell(\sigma, \partial S) \leq \frac{\gamma}{2}\}$ can be covered by a finite number of balls with center on ∂S, we infer that

$$\left|\ln \frac{\omega(y_1)}{\omega'(y_1)} - \ln \frac{\omega(y_2)}{\omega'(y_2)}\right| \leq c_{11} \qquad \forall\, y_1, y_2 \in S_\gamma. \tag{3.26}$$

In $S \setminus \overline{S}_{\frac{\gamma}{2}}$ we can use Harnack inequality to obtain

$$-c_{12} \leq \ln \frac{\omega(y_1)}{\omega(y_2)} \leq c_{12} \qquad \forall\, y_1, y_2 \in S \setminus \overline{S}_{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \text{ s.t. } \ell(y_1, y_2) \leq \tfrac{\gamma}{2}. \tag{3.27}$$

Hence there exists a constant $c_{13} > 0$ such that (3.27) holds for any $y_1, y_2 \in S \setminus \overline{S}_{\frac{\gamma}{2}}$, with c_{12} replaced by c_{13}. Furthermore ω' satisfies the same inequality in $S \setminus \overline{S}_{\frac{\gamma}{2}}$. Combining the two inequalities we obtain

$$-2c_{13} \leq \ln \frac{\omega(y_1)}{\omega(y_2)} - \ln \frac{\omega'(y_1)}{\omega'(y_2)} \leq 2c_{13} \qquad \forall\, y_1, y_2 \in S \setminus \overline{S}_{\frac{\gamma}{2}}. \tag{3.28}$$

Combining this estimate with (3.25) we derive that it holds for all $y_1, y_2 \in S$. This implies

$$e^{-2c_{13}} \frac{\omega(y_2)}{\omega'(y_2)} \leq \frac{\omega(y_1)}{\omega'(y_1)} \leq e^{2c_{13}} \frac{\omega(y_2)}{\omega'(y_2)} \qquad \forall\, y_1, y_2 \in S. \tag{3.29}$$

Assume now that there exist two exponents $\beta > \beta' > 0$ such that $r^{-\beta}\omega(.)$ and $r^{-\beta'}\omega'(.)$ are p-harmonic and positive in the cone C_S and vanishes on ∂C_S. Put $\theta = \frac{\beta}{\beta'}$, $\eta = \omega'^{\theta}$ and

$$\mathcal{T}(\eta) = -div'\left(\left(\beta^2\eta^2 + |\nabla'\eta|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla'\eta\right) - (p-1)\beta(\beta - \beta_0)\left(\beta^2\eta^2 + |\nabla'\eta|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \eta,$$

then

$$\mathcal{T}(\eta) = -\theta^{p-2}\left(\beta'^2\omega'^2 + |\nabla'\omega'|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \left((\beta - \beta')\omega'^2 + (p-1)\theta(\theta-1)\,|\nabla'\omega'|^2\right) \leq 0.$$

Up to multiplying ω' by λ, we can assume that $\eta \leq \omega$ and that the graphs of η and ω are tangent in $\overline{S}$. Since $\omega' \leq c\omega$, $\eta = o(\omega)$ near ∂S. Hence there exists $\sigma_0 \in S$ such that $\omega(\sigma_0) = \eta(\sigma_0)$ and the

coincidence set of η and ω is a compact subset of S. We put $w = \omega - \eta$, since $\nabla\omega(\sigma_0) = \nabla\eta(\sigma_0)$ we proceed as in [14, Th 4.1] (see also [4] in the flat case) and derive that w satisfies, in a system of local coordinates $(\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_{N-1})$ near σ_0,

$$\mathcal{L}w := -\sum_{\ell,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma_\ell}\left(A_{j,\ell}\frac{\partial w}{\partial\sigma_j}\right) + \sum_j C_j\frac{\partial w}{\partial\sigma_\ell} + Cw \geq 0,$$

where the matrix $(A_{j,\ell})$ is smooth, symmetric and positive near σ_0 and the C_j and C are bounded. Hence w is locally zero. By a standard argument of connectedness, this implies that the zero set of w must be empty, contradiction. Hence $\beta = \beta'$. □

3.2 Uniqueness of eigenfunction

The proof is based upon a delicate adaptation of the characterisation of the p-Martin boundary obtained in [10], but we first give a proof in the convex case.

3.2.1 The convex case

Theorem 3.1. *Assume S is a convex spherical subdomain. Then two positive solutions of (1.1) are proportional.*

Proof. We recall that a domain S is (geodesically) convex if a minimal geodesic joining two points of S is contained in S. If $S \subset S^{N-1}$ is convex, the cone C_S is convex too. Since S is convex, it is Lipschitz and by Theorem D, $\beta_S = \hat{\beta}_S := \beta$. Let ω and ω' be two positive solutions of (1.1) satisfying $\sup_S \omega = \sup_S \omega' = 1$. We denote by $u_\omega(x) = |x|^{-\beta}\omega(.)$ and $u_{\omega'}(x) = |x|^{-\beta}\omega'(.)$ the corresponding separable p-harmonic functions defined in C_S. If $0 < a < b$, we set $C_S^{a,b} = C_S \cap (B_b \setminus \overline{B}_a)$. Then for $0 < \epsilon < 1$ we denote by u_ϵ the unique function which satisfies

$$\begin{array}{lll} -\Delta_p u_\epsilon = 0 & \text{in } C_S^{\epsilon,1} & \\ u_\epsilon = \epsilon^{-\beta}\omega & \text{in } C_S \cap \partial B_\epsilon & \\ u_\epsilon = 0 & \text{in } \left(C_S \cap \partial B_1\right) \cup \left(\partial C_S \cap (\overline{B}_1 \setminus B_\epsilon)\right). & \end{array} \tag{3.30}$$

Then

$$(u_\omega - 1)_+ \leq u_\epsilon \leq u_\omega \qquad \text{in } C_S^{\epsilon,1}. \tag{3.31}$$

Furthermore $\epsilon \mapsto u_\epsilon$ is increasing. When $\epsilon \downarrow 0$, $u_\epsilon \uparrow u_0$ where u_0 is positive and p-harmonic in $C_S^{1,0}$, vanishes on $\partial C_S^{1,0} \setminus \{0\}$ and satisfies (3.30) with $\epsilon = 0$. In particular

$$\lim_{r\to 0} r^\beta u_0(r,\sigma) = \omega(\sigma) \qquad \text{locally uniformly in } S. \tag{3.32}$$

We construct the same approximation u'_ϵ in $C_S^{\epsilon,1}$ with ω' instead of ω. Mutadis mutandis (3.31) holds and $u'_\epsilon \uparrow u'_0$ which is positive and p-harmonic in C_S^1, satisfies

$$(u_{\omega'} - 1)_+ \leq u'_0 \leq u_{\omega'} \qquad \text{in } C_S^{1,0},$$

and thus

$$\lim_{r\to 0} r^\beta u'_0(r,\sigma) = \omega'(\sigma) \qquad \text{locally uniformly in } S. \tag{3.33}$$

However, by [10, Th 4] u_0 and u'_0 are proportional. Combined with (3.32), (3.33) it implies the claim.

3.2.2 Proof of Theorem E

In what follows we borrow most of our construction from [10] that we adapt to the case of an infinite cone a make explicit for the sake of completeness. The next *nondegeneracy property* of positive p-harmonic functions is proved in [10, Lemma 4.28].

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain and $1 < p < \infty$. Then there exist constants $\rho > 0$, $c_{14}, c_{15} > 0$ depending respectively on Ω (for ρ), and p, N and the Lipschitz norm M of $\partial\Omega$ (for c_{14} and c_{15}) with the property that for any $w \in \partial\Omega$ and any positive p-harmonic function u in Ω, continuous in $\overline{\Omega} \cap \overline{B}_{2\rho}(w)$ and vanishing on $\partial\Omega \cap B_\rho(w)$, one can find $\xi \in S^{N-1}$, independent of u, such that*

$$c_{14}^{-1}\frac{u(y)}{\text{dist }(y,\partial\Omega)} \le \langle \nabla u(y), \xi\rangle \le |\nabla u(y)| \le c_{14}\frac{u(y)}{\text{dist }(y,\partial\Omega)}, \tag{3.34}$$

for all $y \in C_S \cap \overline{B}_{\frac{\rho|w|}{c_{15}}}(w)$.

If Ω is replaced by a cone C_S, the nondegeneracy property still holds uniformly on $\partial C_S \setminus \{0\}$.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $S \subset S^{N-1}$ is a Lipschitz domain and C_S the cone generated by S.*
(i) Then there exist constants $\rho < \frac{1}{2}$, $c_{14}, c_{15} > 0$ depending respectively on S (for ρ), and p, N and the Lipschitz norm M of ∂S and $diam(S)$ (for c_{14} and c_{15}) with the property that for any $w \in \partial C_S$ and any positive p-harmonic function u in C_S, continuous in $\overline{C}_S \cap \overline{B}_{2\rho|w|}(w)$ and vanishing on $\partial C_S \cap \overline{B}_{\rho|w|}(w)$ continuous, one can find $\xi \in S^{N-1}$, independent of u, such that

$$c_{14}^{-1}\frac{u(y)}{\text{dist }(y,\partial C_S)} \le \langle \nabla u(y), \xi\rangle \le |\nabla u(y)| \le c_{14}\frac{u(y)}{\text{dist }(y,\partial C_S)}, \tag{3.35}$$

for all $y \in B_{\frac{\rho}{c_{15}}}(w) \cap C_S$.
(ii) Then there exist positive constants κ and c_{16}, c_{17} depending on S (for κ), and p, N and the Lipschitz norm M of ∂S and $diam(S)$ (for c_{16}, c_{17} such that for any $a > 0$ and any positive p-harmonic function u in C_S^a vanishing on $\partial C_S \cap B_a^c$, there holds

$$c_{16}^{-1}\frac{u(y)}{\text{dist }(y,\partial C_S)} \le |\nabla u(y)| \le c_{16}\frac{u(y)}{\text{dist }(y,\partial C_S)} \qquad \forall y \in C_S^{c_{17}a} \text{ s.t. dist } (y,\partial C_S) \le \kappa\,|y|\,. \tag{3.36}$$

Let $\omega, \omega' \in W_0^{1,p}(S) \cap C(\overline{S})$ be positive solutions (1.1). Since $\frac{\omega}{\omega'}$ is bounded from above and from below in S by positive constants, we can assume, as in the proof of Theorem D, that $\omega \ge \omega'$ in S and that the graphs of ω and ω' are tangent. hence, if $\omega \ne \omega'$, then $\omega > \omega'$ in S and there exists a sequence $\{\sigma_n\}$ converging to $\sigma_0 \in \partial S$ as $n \to \infty$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\omega'(\sigma_n)}{\omega(\sigma_n)} = 1.$$

We define $\delta_1 = \sup\{\delta > 0 : \delta\omega < \omega'\}$. For $t \in (\delta_1, 1)$, we set

$$\phi_t = \sup\{\omega', t\omega\} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_t = \inf\left\{\frac{t}{\delta_1}\omega', \omega\right\} \tag{3.37}$$

We also set

$$v_{\phi_t}(r,\sigma) = r^{-\beta}\phi_t(\sigma) \quad \text{and} \quad v_{\psi_t}(r,\sigma) = r^{-\beta}\psi_t(\sigma) \qquad \forall\,(r,\sigma) \in (0,\infty)\times S. \tag{3.38}$$

Lemma 3.4. *The functions ϕ_t and ψ_t are respectively a subsolution and a supersolution of* (1.1) *in $W_0^{1,p}(S)$, v_{ϕ_t} and v_{ψ_t} are respectively a subsolution and a supersolution of $-\Delta_p$ in C_S, and there exists $\eta \in W_0^{1,p}(S)$ solution of* (1.1) *such that*

$$\omega' \leq \phi_t \leq \eta \leq \psi_t \leq \omega \qquad \forall\, t \in (\delta_1, 1). \tag{3.39}$$

If S_t is the subset of $\eta \in W_0^{1,p}(S)$ solutions of (1.1) *and satisfying* (3.39)*, then $\omega_t = \sup\{\eta : \eta \in S_t\}$ belongs to S_t. It is increasing with respect to t with uniform limits ω' when $t \downarrow \delta_1$ and ω when $t \uparrow 1$. Finally, if $\theta_t = \frac{t-\delta_1}{1-\delta_1}$, there holds*

$$\phi_t \leq \theta_t \omega + (1-\theta_t)\omega' \leq \psi_t. \tag{3.40}$$

Proof. Clearly ϕ_t and ψ_t are respectively a subsolution and a supersolution of the operator $\mathcal{T}$, they belong to $W_0^{1,p}(S) \cap L^\infty(S)$ and they satisfy $\omega' \leq \phi_t \leq \psi_t \leq \omega$. Furthermore, by Dini convergence theorem

$$\lim_{t\uparrow 1} \phi_t = \omega = \lim_{t\uparrow 1} \psi_t \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t\downarrow \delta_1} \phi_t = \omega' = \lim_{t\downarrow \delta_1} \psi_t,$$

uniformly in $\overline{S}$. Moreover, in spherical coordinates,

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u(r,\sigma) = &\left(\left(u_r^2 + r^{-2}\left|\nabla' u\right|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} u_r\right)_r - \frac{N-1}{r}\left(u_r^2 + r^{-2}\left|\nabla' u\right|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} u_r \\ &- \frac{1}{r^2} div'\left(\left(u_r^2 + r^{-2}\left|\nabla' u\right|^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla' u\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $u(r,\sigma) = r^{-\beta}\eta(\sigma)$,

$$-\Delta_p u(r,\sigma) = \beta^{p-2} r^{-(p-1)(\beta+1)-1} \mathcal{T}(\eta).$$

Thus v_{ϕ_t} is a subsolution $-\Delta_p$ in C_S and v_{ψ_t} is a supersolution. Since the operator $\mathcal{T}$ is a Leray-Lions operator, it follows by [3] that there exists $\eta \in W_0^{1,p}(S) \cap L^\infty(S)$ satisfying $\mathcal{T}(\eta) = 0$ and $\phi_t \leq \eta \leq \psi_t$ in S. We denote by S_t the set of $\eta \in W_0^{1,p}(S) \cap L^\infty(S)$ satisfying $\mathcal{T}(\eta) = 0$ and $\phi_t \leq \eta \leq \psi_t$ in S. Then there exists a sequence $\{\eta_n\} \subset S_t$ and $\omega_t \in W_0^{1,p}(S) \cap L^\infty(S)$ such that $\eta_n(\sigma) \uparrow \omega_t(\sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$, where Σ is a countable dense subset of S. By Lemma 2.1 $\{\eta_n\}$ is bounded in $L^p(S)$, hence in $C^\gamma(S)$ for some $\gamma \in (0,1)$. By the estimates of the proof of Theorem B-Step 2, $\{\eta_n\}$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(S)$. By standard regularity theory, we can also assume that $\eta_n \to \omega_t$ in the $C^1_{loc}(S)$-topology. Hence ω_t is a weak solution of (1.1), it belongs to $W_0^{1,p}(S) \cap L^\infty(S)$ and satisfies $\phi_t \leq \omega_t \leq \psi_t$. Therefore it is the maximal element of S_t. The monotonity of ω_t is a consequence of the monotonicity of ϕ_t and ψ_t and the last statement (3.40) is a straightforward computation. □

Next we recall the deformation of p-harmonic functions already used in [10]. If $\tau \in (0,1)$ and $0 < a < b$, we denote by $v_{\tau,a,b}$ the p-harmonic function defined in $C_S^{a,b}$ satisfying

$$v_{\tau,a,b}(x) = \begin{cases} a^{-\beta}(\tau\omega + (1-\tau)\omega')(\frac{x}{|x|}) & \text{if } x \in C_S \cap \partial B_a \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in C_S \cap \partial B_b \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \partial C_S \cap \left(\overline{B}_b \setminus B_a\right). \end{cases} \tag{3.41}$$

Lemma 3.5. *The mapping $(\tau, b) \mapsto v_{\tau,a,b}$ is continuous and increasing. If $v_{\tau,a} = \lim_{b\to\infty} v_{\tau,a,b}$, then it is a positive p-harmonic function in $C_S^{a,\infty}$ vanishing on $\partial S \cap B_a^c$, and there holds*

$$u_{\omega'}(x) \leq v_{\phi_{\tau^*}}(x) \leq v_{\tau,a}(x) \leq v_{\psi_{\tau^*}}(x) \leq u_\omega(x) \quad \forall\, x \in C_S^{a,\infty}, \tag{3.42}$$

where $\tau^* = (1-\delta_1)\tau + \delta_1$ *and as a consequence*

$$\lim_{\tau\uparrow 1}\sup_{|x|\geq a}|x|^{\beta}\left(u_\omega(x)-v_{\tau,a}(x)\right)=0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \lim_{\tau\downarrow 0}\sup_{|x|\geq a}|x|^{\beta}\left(v_{\tau,a}(x)-u_{\omega'}(x)\right)=0 \tag{3.43}$$

Furthermore

$$0\leq \frac{v_{\tau',a}-v_{\tau,a}}{\tau'-\tau}\leq \left(\frac{1}{\delta_1}-1\right)v_{\tau',a} \quad \forall\, 0\leq\tau<\tau'\leq 1. \tag{3.44}$$

Proof. The uniqueness and the (strict) monotonicity of $(\tau,b)\mapsto v_{\tau,a,b}$ follow from the monotonicity of $\tau\mapsto\tau\omega+(1-\tau)\omega'$ and the strong maximum principle. The continuity is a consequence of uniqueness and regularity theory for p-harmonic functions. It follows from (3.40) with $t=\tau^*$ and the fact that $v_{\phi_{\tau^*}}$ and $v_{\psi_{\tau^*}}$ are respectively a subsolution and a supersolution of $-\Delta_p$, that we have

$$u_{\omega'}(x)\leq v_{\phi_{\tau^*}}(x)\leq v_{\tau,a,b}(x)\leq v_{\psi_{\tau^*}}(x)\leq u_\omega(x) \quad \forall\, x\in C_S^{a,b},$$

which yields (3.42). Similarly, we have on $\partial C_S^{a,b}$

$$0\leq\frac{v_{\tau',a,b}-v_{\tau,a,b}}{\tau'-\tau}=u_\omega-u_{\omega'}\leq(\delta_1^{-1}-1)u_{\omega'}\leq(\delta_1^{-1}-1)v_{\tau,a,b}, \tag{3.45}$$

equivalently

$$0\leq v_{\tau',a,b}\leq\left(1+(\tau'-\tau)(\delta_1^{-1}-1)\right)v_{\tau,a,b}. \tag{3.46}$$

By the maximum principle (3.45) holds in $C_S^{a,b}$. This implies (3.44). □

As a consequence of (3.44), $\partial_\tau v_{\tau,a}$ exists for almost all $\tau\in(0,1)$ in $W_0^{1,p}(C_S^{a,b})$ for all $b>a$ and it is a solution of

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{L}w&=\nabla.\left((p-2)\left|\nabla v_{\tau,a}\right|^{p-4}\langle\nabla v_{\tau,a}.\nabla Z\rangle\nabla v_{\tau,a}\right)\\&=\sum_{i,j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\left(b_{i,j}(x)\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i}\right)=0\end{aligned} \tag{3.47}$$

where

$$b_{i,j}(x)=\left|\nabla v_{\tau,a}\right|^{p-4}\left((p-2)\frac{\partial v_{\tau,a}}{\partial x_j}\frac{\partial v_{\tau,a}}{\partial x_i}+\delta_{ij}\left|\nabla v_{\tau,a}\right|^2\right).$$

$\mathbb{L}$ satisfies the following ellipticity condition

$$\min\{1,p-1\}\left|\nabla v_{\tau,a}\right|^2|\xi|^2\leq\sum_{i,j}b_{i,j}(x)\xi_i\xi_j\leq\max\{1,p-1\}\left|\nabla v_{\tau,a}\right|^2|\xi|^2 \quad \forall\xi\in\mathbb{R}^N. \tag{3.48}$$

It is important to notice that $\mathbb{L}v_{\tau,a}=(p-1)\Delta_p v_{\tau,a}=0$. The estimate (3.48) combined with (3.36) and the decay of $v_{\tau,a}$ and $\partial_\tau v_{\tau,a}$ implies that they satisfy Harnack inequality and boundary Harnack inequality in C_S^a. There exists a constant $\hat{c}>c_{17}>1$ (see 3.36) such that

$$\frac{1}{\hat{c}}\frac{\partial_\tau v_{\tau,a}(x_a)}{v_{\tau,a}(x_a)}\leq\frac{\partial_\tau v_{\tau,a}(x)}{v_{\tau,a}(x)}\leq\hat{c}\frac{\partial_\tau v_{\tau,a}(x_a)}{v_{\tau,a}(x_a)} \qquad \forall x\in C_S^{\hat{c}a}, \tag{3.49}$$

where $x_a=(\hat{c}a,\sigma_0)$ for some $\sigma_0\in S$ fixed. We set

$$M(t)=\sup_{x\in C_S^t}\frac{\partial_\tau v_{\tau,a}(x)}{v_{\tau,a}(x)} \quad \text{and} \quad m(t)=\inf_{x\in C_S^t}\frac{\partial_\tau v_{\tau,a}(x)}{v_{\tau,a}(x)} \qquad \forall t>a \tag{3.50}$$

Lemma 3.6. *For $t > \hat{c}a$ there holds*

$$M(\hat{c}t) - m(\hat{c}t) \leq \frac{\hat{c}^2 - 1}{\hat{c}^2 + 1} \left(M(t) - m(t) \right). \tag{3.51}$$

Proof. There holds

$$\partial_\tau v_{\tau,a} - m(t) v_{\tau,a} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad M(t) v_{\tau,a} - \partial_\tau v_{\tau,a} \geq 0 \qquad \in C_S^t.$$

Estimate (3.49) is valid for any couple of positive solutions (h_1, h_2) of $\mathbb{L}h = 0$ in C_S^a vanishing on $\partial C_S^a \cap B_a^c$, in particular for $(\partial_\tau v_{\tau,a} - m(t)v_{\tau,a}, v_{\tau,a})$ and $(M(t)v_{\tau,a} - \partial_\tau v_{\tau,a}, v_{\tau,a})$. Hence

$$\frac{1}{\hat{c}} \left(\frac{\partial_\tau v_{\tau,a}(x_a)}{v_{\tau,a}(x_a)} - m(t) \right) \leq \frac{\partial_\tau v_{\tau,a}(x)}{v_{\tau,a}(x)} - m(t) \leq \hat{c} \left(\frac{\partial_\tau v_{\tau,a}(x_a)}{v_{\tau,a}(x_a)} - m(t) \right) \quad \forall x \in C_S^t. \tag{3.52}$$

This implies

$$\frac{1}{\hat{c}} \left(\frac{\partial_\tau v_{\tau,a}(x_a)}{v_{\tau,a}(x_a)} - m(t) \right) \leq m(\hat{c}t) - m(t),$$

and

$$\frac{\partial_\tau v_{\tau,a}(x)}{v_{\tau,a}(x)} - m(t) \leq \hat{c}^2 (m(\hat{c}t) - m(t)) \quad \forall x \in C_S^t.$$

Finally

$$M(\hat{c}t) - m(t) \leq \hat{c}^2 (m(\hat{c}t) - m(t)). \tag{3.53}$$

Similarly

$$M(t) - m(\hat{c}t) \leq \hat{c}^2 (M(t) - M(\hat{c}t)). \tag{3.54}$$

Summing the two inequalities we get

$$(M(t) - m(t)) + (M(\hat{c}t) - m(\hat{c}t)) \leq \hat{c}^2 \left((M(t) - m(t)) - (M(\hat{c}t) - m(\hat{c}t)) \right),$$

which yields (3.52). □

End of the proof. By the differentiability property of $v_{\tau,a}$ with respect to τ, there exists two countable dense sets $\{(r_\nu\} \subset [a, \infty)$ and $\{\sigma_\mu\} \subset [a, \infty)$ such that $\partial_\tau v_{\tau,a}(r_\nu, \sigma_\mu)$ exists for almost all τ. We put $x_{\nu,\mu} = (r_\nu, \sigma_\mu)$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \left(\frac{\omega(\sigma_\mu)}{\omega'(\sigma_\mu)} \right) - \ln \left(\frac{\omega(\sigma_{\mu'})}{\omega'(\sigma_{\mu'})} \right) &= \ln \left(\frac{v_{1,a}(x_{\nu,\mu})}{v_{0,a}(x_{\nu,\mu})} \right) - \ln \left(\frac{v_{1,a}(x_{\nu,\mu'})}{v_{0,a}(x_{\nu,\mu'})} \right) \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\partial_\tau v_{\tau,a}(x_{\nu,\mu})}{v_{\tau,a}(x_{\nu,\mu})} - \frac{\partial_\tau v_{\tau,a}(x_{\nu,\mu'})}{v_{\tau,a}(x_{\nu,\mu'})} \right) d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{3.55}$$

Using the continuity of $\frac{\omega}{\omega'}$ and the density of $\{\sigma_m\}$ we derive

$$\left| \ln \left(\frac{\omega(\sigma)}{\omega'(\sigma)} \right) - \ln \left(\frac{\omega(\sigma')}{\omega'(\sigma')} \right) \right|. \leq M(r_\nu) - m(r_\nu) \qquad \forall (\sigma, \sigma') \in S \times S. \tag{3.56}$$

We can assume that $r_\nu \geq \hat{c}^{\nu_n} a$ for some sequence $\{\nu_n\}$ tending to infinity with n, hence

$$\left| \ln \left(\frac{\omega(\sigma)}{\omega'(\sigma)} \right) - \ln \left(\frac{\omega(\sigma')}{\omega'(\sigma')} \right) \right| \leq \theta^n \left(M(\hat{c}^{\nu_1}) - m(\hat{c}^{\nu_1}) \right) \qquad \forall (\sigma, \sigma') \in S \times S \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \tag{3.57}$$

where $\theta = \frac{\hat{c}^2 - 1}{\hat{c}^2 + 1} < 1$. Letting $n \to \infty$ implies the claim. □

References

[1] D. Adams, L. Hedberg, *Function Spaces and Potential Theory*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **314**, Springer, Second Printing (1999).

[2] B. Avelin, K. Nyström, *Estimates for solutions to equations of p-Laplace type in Ahlfors regular NTA-domains.* J. Funct. Anal. **266** (2014), 5955-6005.

[3] L. Boccardo, F. Murat, J. P. Puel, *Résultats d'existence pour certains problèmes elliptiques quasilinéaires.* Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa, Cl. Scienze **11** (1984), 213-235.

[4] A. Friedman, L. Véron, *Singular Solutions of Some Quasilinear Elliptic Equations.* Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **96** (1986), 359-387

[5] L. Hedberg, *Spectral synthesis in Sobolev spaces, and uniqueness of solutions of the Dirichlet problem.* Acta Mathematica **147** (1981): 237-264.

[6] S. Kichenassamy, L. Véron, *Singular solutions of the p-Laplace equation.* Math. Ann. **275** , 599-615 (1986).

[7] I. N. Krol', *The behaviour of the solutions of a certain quasilinear equation near zero cusps of the boundary.* Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **125** (1973), 140-146.

[8] J. Lewis, *Applications of boundary Harnack inequalities for p harmonic functions and related topics. Regularity estimates for nonlinear elliptic and parabolic problems*. Lecture Notes in Math. **2045** (2012) 1-72. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New-York.

[9] J. Lewis, K. Nyström, *Boundary behavior of p-harmonic functions in domains beyond Lipschitz domains.* Adv. Calc. Var. **1** (2008), 1-38.

[10] J. Lewis, K. Nyström, *Boundary behavior and the Martin boundary problem for p-harmonic functions in Lipschitz domains.* Ann. of Math. **172** (2010), 1907-1948.

[11] J. Lewis, K. Nyström, *New Results for p Harmonic Functions*. Pure and Appl. Math. Quart., **7** (2011), 345-363.

[12] P. Lindqvist, *Notes on the p-Laplace equation*. Report. University of Univ. Jyväskylä Department of Mathematics and Statistics, **102**, Univ. Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, ii+80 pp. ISBN: 951-39-2586-2.

[13] A. Porretta, L. Véron, *Separable p-harmonic functions in a cone and related quasilinear equations on manifolds.* J. Eur. Math. Soc. **11** (2009), 1285-1305.

[14] A. Porretta, L. Véron, *Separable solutions of quasilinear Lane-Emden equations.* J. Eur. Math. Soc. **15** (2011), 755-774.

[15] P. Tolksdorf, *On the Dirichlet problem for quasilinear equations in domains with conical boundary points*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations **8** (1983), 773-817.

[16] L. Véron, *Local and Global Aspects of Quasilinear Degenerate Elliptic Equations*, World Scientific Publishing Co Pte Ltd (2017).

G. K.: Centro de Modelamiento Matemàtico (UMI 2807 CNRS), Universidad de Chile, Casilla 170 Correo 3, Santiago, Chile.

L. V. : Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Physique Théorique, (UMR 7350 CNRS), Faculté des Sciences, Université François Rabelais, 37200, Tours, France.